UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,549	01/03/2005	Yukako Fujiwara	263756US0PCT	6834
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		EXAMINER		
		ROGERS, JUNE MARIE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4173	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/518,549	FUJIWARA, YUKAKO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JUNE M. ROGERS	4173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety or period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>11/23</u>	3/2007.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
· -						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-14 and 16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15</u> is/are rejected.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• , ,	, ,				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F					
a) M Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2 sheets</u> .	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claim 15, drawn to a cosmetic composition comprising the vesicle dispersion according to any one of claims 1 to 11 in the reply filed on November 23, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that (1) no adequate reasons and/or examples have been provided to support a conclusion of patentable distinctiveness between the identified groups and (2) it has not been shown that a burden exist in searching the claims of all three groups. This is not found persuasive because this is a 371 application. The standard for a 371 application is unity of inventions and therefore Applicant's arguments are mute. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Priority

Applicant's claim of priority to JP 2002-196852 filed May 5, 2002 is acknowledged. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Status of Claims

Claims 1-14 and 16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or

Application/Control Number: 10/518,549 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply

filed on November 23, 2007 is acknowledged.

Claim 15 is under consideration in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form

the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ryoichi et al.

(JP 61-271205-abstract). Ryoichi et al discloses compositions containing

gylcosylceramide and/or ceramide and a sucrose fatty acid ester that are used to

formulate a lotion, a milky lotion or a cream.

It is noted that Ryoichi et al. do not explicitly teach an aqueous component;

however, it is the opinion of the Examiner the aqueous component is necessarily

present in the compositions of Ryoichi et al. because cosmetic lotions and creams are

emulsions which have an aqueous component. Applicant is invited to provide evidence

to the contrary.

Conclusion

No claims allowed.

Art Unit: 1614

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JUNE M. ROGERS whose telephone number is (571)270-3497. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:00-5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Juné M. Rogers

/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614